## EXHIBIT A

1 Bret Stanley (TX SBN 24075116) bstanley@johnsonlawgroup.com 2 Johnson Law Group 2925 Richmond Ave, Suite 1700 3 Houston, TX 77098 Telephone: (713) 626-9336 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 9 10 IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB 11 LITIGATION **DECLARATION OF BRET STANLEY** 12 SUPPORTING BRET STANLEY'S RESPONSE TO MOTION TO ENFORCE 13 PROTECTIVE ORDER 14 Judge: Honorable Charles R. Breyer Courtroom:  $6 - 17^{th}$  Floor This Document Relates to: 15 All Cases 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Page 2 of 5

27

28

Exhibit 4, July 9, 2025 Hearing Transcript Related to Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Production of Plaintiff's 12<sup>th</sup> Notice to Produce Documents to Uber Defendants, *Lord vs Uber*.

5. Exhibit 4 is a true and correct copy of the July 9, 2025 Hearing transcript in *Lord vs Uber* 

*Technologies, Inc. et al,* related to Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Production of Plaintiff's 12th Notice to Produce Documents to Uber Defendants.

## Exhibit 5, Uber Defendants Motion for Protective Order from Plaintiff Smith's Request for Production and Deposition Requests (June 2, 2025)

6. Exhibit 5 is a true and correct copy of Uber Technologies, Inc.'s Motion for Protective Order From Plaintiff Smith's 5<sup>th</sup> Request for Production served by the Uber Defendants in the *Ivan Smith* matter on June 2, 2025.

## STATEMENTS OF BRET STANLEY EXPERIENCE

- 7. I have litigated various claims against Uber since 2019. Between 2019-2023, I tried individual arbitrations through final arbitration hearing on behalf of ten (10) different Uber Drivers, including the *DaSilva* matter where a California arbitrator found employee status for Mr. DaSilva, a long-standing driver for Uber.
- 8. In 2024, I tried the *Ali Razak, et al. vs. Uber Technologies, Inc. et al.* before Judge Baylson in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. On information and belief, *Razak* was first ever jury trial against Uber concerning the employee status of Uber Drivers. The *Razak* matter was tried to a hung jury.
- 9. In 2023, I presented Oral Argument before the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation to petition the JPML to create MDL NO. 3084, *In Re Uber Technologies Inc.*, *Passenger Sexual Assault Litigation*.
- 10. Since 2019, I have filed or made appearances in many additional cases outside of MDL 3084 against the Uber Defendants concerning car jackings, murders, and physical assault on the Uber Platform, sexual assault on the Uber platform, and traumatic injuries related to Uber Platform motor vehicle collisions.
- 11. In my experience in litigating matters against the Uber Defendants since 2019, the Uber Defendants have refused to produce internal documents, obstructed the discovery process, sought to overturn consolidation efforts, or otherwise required court intervention to compel discoverable information in every single case that I have litigated.
  - 12. On May 17, 2025, I supplied Ms. Veronica Gromada and other Counsel for the Uber

Document 3584-1

Filed 07/25/25

Page 5 of 5

Case 3:23-md-03084-CRB